

# Catalyst: Seeding

---

## Ngā aratohu arotake Review Guidelines

2026

## Rārangi Ūpoko Contents

<b>Hītori putanga</b> Version history.....	2
<b>He whakamārama</b> Background.....	3
<b>Mō Whakahohoro: Kākano</b> About Catalyst: Seeding.....	3
<b>Ngā whakamāramatanga Hira</b> Key definitions.....	5
<b>Te mahi a ngā kaiarotake</b> Role of Reviewers.....	6
<b>Kopounga</b> Appointment.....	6
<b>Ngā mātāpono ārahi</b> Guiding principles .....	6
<b>Whakatoihara rehu</b> Unconscious bias.....	7
<b>Ngā take whai taharua</b> Conflicts of interest.....	7
<b>Hui ataata whakamārama a te kaiarotake</b> Reviewer briefing video conference.....	7
<b>Hātepe arotake</b> Evaluation procedure.....	8
<b>Wātaka</b> Timeline .....	9
<b>Paearu whiriwhiri a Whakahohoro: Kākano</b> Catalyst: Seeding selection criteria .....	10
<b>Vision Mātauranga</b> .....	11
<b>Mata</b> Confidentiality .....	12
<b>Tūmataitinga</b> Privacy .....	12
<b>Te mahi a ngā kaimahi a Te Apārangi</b> Role of Society staff .....	12
<b>Honoraria for Catalyst reviewers</b> .....	13
<b>Ngā mihi</b> Thank you.....	13
<b>Mō ētahi atu mōhiohio</b> More information.....	13
<b>Appendix I: He Hōtaka ā-tau</b> Annual call times and programme information for Catalyst: Seeding .....	14
<b>Appendix II: He Kuputaka</b> Glossary of te reo Māori terms.....	15

### Hītori putanga Version history

Version	Date	Change
3.0	January 2025	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Added information on the Catalyst Fund’s priority research areas.</li> <li>Amended Criterion 3 to further reward applications that articulate a clear line of sight to expected benefits of national and global significance within New Zealand’s science priorities, and which</li> </ul>

		initiate links with relevant New Zealand capabilities to facilitate research/knowledge transfer.
2.0	January 2024	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Notes 1-3 have been added under the selection criteria (page 9-10).</li> <li>Information on honoraria for Catalyst Seeding reviewers has been added (page 12).</li> </ul>

## He whakamārama Background

The Catalyst Fund supports activities that initiate, develop and foster collaborations leveraging international science and innovation for New Zealand’s benefit. It targets investment in leadership, influence, seeding and strategic cooperation through four funding streams.

Royal Society Te Apārangi (the Society), on behalf of the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE), administers a number of opportunities in the funding streams Catalyst: Leaders, Catalyst: Seeding, and Catalyst: Influence.

These guidelines are intended to facilitate the smooth operation of the Catalyst Fund Assessment process for the appointed review panels to Catalyst: Seeding.

## Mō Whakahohoro: Kākano About Catalyst: Seeding

Catalyst: Seeding seeds new small and medium pre-research strategic partnerships that cannot be supported through other means, and with a view to developing full collaborations that could be supported through other research funding mechanisms, including those administered by the Ministry.

The objectives of Catalyst: Seeding

- To enhance knowledge creation in New Zealand by linking with world-class international research groups, infrastructure and initiatives, and,
- To create enduring international science partnerships for New Zealand by providing multiple scale pre-research collaboration for new projects which are capable of becoming ‘mainstreamed’ i.e. funded, after the initial work is done by the New Zealand researcher and their international partner, by other New Zealand research mechanisms.

For more information on the specific Programmes included in the respective Kohi-Tātea January, Paenga-Whāwhā April and Hōngongoi July calls for Catalyst: Seeding, please refer to *Appendix 1: Annual Call times and programme information for Catalyst: Seeding*. The published guidelines are also available to reference on the Society’s [Catalyst: Seeding](#) website.

## Priority research areas

The Catalyst Fund investment plan released in December 2024, identifies six priority research areas for the Fund. These are:

- o Quantum technology
- o Health and biomedicine
- o Biotechnologies
- o Artificial Intelligence
- o Antarctic research
- o Space and Earth observations

Subject to the number and quality of submitted applications, proposals aligning with the Catalyst Fund priority research areas are expected to make up 75% of awarded Catalyst: Seeding contracts unless otherwise noted in the specific programme requirement for each sub-programme. The remaining 25% of awarded contracts can be in any field of research (including social sciences and the humanities).

For more information on the specific Programmes included in the respective Kohi-Tātea January, Paenga-Whāwhā April and Hōngongoi July calls for Catalyst: Seeding, please refer to *Appendix 1: Annual Call times and programme information for Catalyst: Seeding*. The published guidelines are also available to reference on the Society's Catalyst: Seeding website.

## Ngā whakamāramatanga Hira Key definitions

The assessment criteria below should be read in conjunction with the following key definitions:

**Applicant** means the New Zealand research organisation submitting the Catalyst Proposal.

**Call** means the request for proposals towards specific Programmes or Sub-Programmes, as outlined in Appendix 1 of this document, *Table 1: Annual call timeline for Catalyst: Seeding*.

**New Zealand Principal Investigator (PI)** means the New Zealand individual nominated by the Applicant, who is responsible for the proposed activity if awarded funding.

**Collaboration Partner** means the international researcher (and New Zealand researcher(s) from organisations other than the Applicant organisation if applicable) collaborating with the New Zealand Principal Investigator.

**Partner Institution** means the international research organisation (and New Zealand organisation other than the Applicant organisation if applicable) of the Collaboration Partner.

**Programme (or Sub-Programme)** means the individual funding opportunity within Catalyst: Seeding as outlined in Appendix 1 of this document, *Table 2 Catalyst: Seeding programmes*.

**Project** means the unique research collaboration proposed by the Proposal.

**Project Team** means the Principal Investigator, Collaboration Partner and supporting individuals collectively identified in the Proposal as critical to the success of the Project.

**New Zealand Project Team** means the New Zealand based individuals, including the New Zealand Principal Investigator, identified in the proposal as critical to the success of the Project.

**Proposal (or Application)** means the application submitted by the Applicant to Catalyst: Seeding.

**Research Organisation** means an organisation that has internal capability to carry out substantive research, science, technology or related activities. Public service departments as listed in [Schedule 1 of the State Sector Act 1988](#) are not eligible to apply under the Catalyst Fund.

## Te mahi a ngā kaiarotake Role of Reviewers

Reviewers are essential to the Catalyst Fund assessment process. Significant funding decisions will be made based on your assessment. As an independent expert, you are asked to grade and comment on the proposals assigned to you in a given call. All proposals will be reviewed remotely and there is no requirement to attend a meeting in person.

- The Society aims to allocate a **maximum of 22 proposals** to each reviewer, and that the review activities will not take more than one day to complete.
- The main content of each proposal is presented in 3-5 pages. The total length, including CVs, letters of support, etc., is 15-20 pages, depending on the programme. Consequently, most applications can be assessed within 30 minutes or less.
- Reviewers are responsible for carrying out the evaluation of the proposals. Delegating the work to another person is not permitted.
- Reviewers may be asked to review applications that are outside their specific field of research.

## Kopounga Appointment

The review panels for assessing applications submitted to the Catalyst: Seeding programmes are appointed by the Society. For more information on the appointment process, please refer to the [Catalyst Reviewer Expression of Interest](#) document.

All Catalyst Fund reviewers will be acknowledged on our website. They must not give specific feedback to applicants but can share general insights.

Note, that when you agree to the terms and conditions set out in these guidelines, you additionally agree to being named as a Reviewer on the website.

## Ngā mātāpono ārahi Guiding principles

Reviewers must follow these guiding principles:

- **Independence:** Reviewers are evaluating in a personal capacity, you do not represent your employer.
- **Impartiality:** Reviewers must treat all proposals equally and evaluate them impartially on their merits, irrespective of their origin or the identity of the applicants.
- **Objectivity:** Reviewers must evaluate each proposal as submitted; meaning on its own merit, not its potential if certain changes were to be made.
- **Accuracy:** Reviewers must make their judgment against the official evaluation criteria and the call or topic the proposal addresses, and nothing else.
- **Consistency:** Reviewers must apply the same standard of judgment to all proposals.

## Whakatoihara rehu Unconscious bias

Unconscious bias refers to a bias that we are unaware of and that happens outside of our control. The Society wants to ensure that this bias has minimal influence on funding recommendations being made by Society-appointed Reviewers. The literature suggests that awareness of unconscious bias can limit the impact of this bias. We therefore encourage Reviewers to watch the short (3 minutes) introduction video below from the Royal Society London to familiarise/reacquaint yourself with the topic.

### [Royal Society London – Understanding unconscious bias](#)

Some recommendations to blunt the impact of unconscious bias are to:

- be prepared to **recognise** the impact of unconscious bias
- deliberately **slow down** decision making
- **reconsider** reasons for decisions
- **question** cultural stereotype.

Please also feel free to explore some of the additional resources below:

- <https://implicit.harvard.edu/implicit/takeatest.html>

Link to Harvard University implicit association tests (IAT) on unconscious bias in relation to Gender and Science, and Gender and Career.

- <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/inclusion-journey/learn>

Short Microsoft eLesson course designed to help participants understand what unconscious bias is, how it works, and strategies to counter it in the workplace.

- <https://kirwaninstitute.osu.edu/sites/default/files/pdf/2017-implicit-bias-review.pdf>

“State of the Science: Implicit Bias Review” from Ohio State University’s Kirwan Institute for the Study of Race and Ethnicity – this publication covers a wide range of issues relating to implicit or unconscious bias and general mitigation strategies.

- <https://www.awis.org/creating-equitable-stem-workplaces-by-addressing-unconscious-bias/>

Material from Association for Women in Science.

## Ngā take whai taharua Conflicts of interest

The Society takes conflicts of interest very seriously. It takes a rigorous position to maintain the credibility of the allocation process and to ensure that applications are subject to fair and reasonable appraisal. During reviewer selection the Society will try to minimise the known conflicts of interest for any reviewer. Where conflicts of interest arise, the following rules apply:

- All conflicts of interest must be declared in writing to the Society. Society staff will note all conflicts of interest and document actions to mitigate their impact.

- Reviewers will not assess proposals from any applicant who is a family member, collaborator or friend. They will be notified about the outcome of that proposal when official letters are sent to all applicants.
- A reviewer shall not assess a proposal where they have an interest in the application, such as benefits to their own research or collaboration with an applicant or an applicant's group.
- The reviewer shall seek guidance from the Society if they feel uncomfortable assessing a proposal or if an external observer could perceive a conflict of interest.

## Hui ataata whakamārama a te kaiarotake Reviewer briefing video conference

The Reviewer briefing video conference is an opportunity for the Society to introduce the objectives and the assessment process for Catalyst: Seeding to the reviewers, as well as an opportunity to ask questions about the process. We therefore strongly encourage reviewers to take part in this meeting, but participation in the meeting is not a prerequisite for being a reviewer.

The meeting will take place via Zoom from 10–11 AM on the dates indicated in the timeline below.

## Hātepe arotake Evaluation procedure

The Society will send each Catalyst: Leaders reviewer a PDF containing the applications they will assess and a spreadsheet to record their scores.

The scores are automatically combined in the spreadsheet to produce an overall assessment using the weighting for each of the three scoring criteria (see below).

In evaluating proposals, reviewers are asked to follow these guidelines:

- Each proposal is graded on three criteria using a scale from 1 (poor) to 10 (exceptional). The list of assessment criteria for Catalyst: Leaders is provided below.
- The grades must be submitted on the spread sheet template supplied by the Society.
- The grades should be returned to the Society by 5pm, on the deadline for Reviewer's submission of grades (see Timeline).
- For Catalyst: Seeding, the assessment panel will score and rank all proposals together, independent on the identified programme of sub-programme.

Reviewers will also consider:

- if the reviewed proposal is “worthy of funding”. Due to financial limitations, many excellent proposals cannot be funded. However, by answering “No” to the above question, the reviewer indicates that a proposal does not have the quality to be funded regardless of available grants.

- If the proposed research is aligned with the indicated research priority area (where applicable).

Following the closing of the review round, the Society will collate all grades from the reviewers to create a ranked list of applications. The final decision for funding lies with the Society. The Society will take into account reviewers’ recommendations.

The Society will ensure that:

- subject to the number and quality of submitted applications, 75% of awarded Catalyst: Seeding contracts align with the Catalyst Fund priority research areas where applicable. The remaining 25% of awarded contracts can be in any field of research (including social sciences and the humanities).
- Research excellence is the main criterion for funding
- the Society is not over-investing in collaborations with one country or topic area at the neglect of others
- funding is proportionately invested in research with short-term and long-term impact horizons
- decisions are made jointly with bilateral partners

## Wātaka Timeline

Tabled below is the calendar of events for the 2026 Catalyst Fund ‘Call for Proposals’ and associated review activities. Each call includes programme activities in both Catalyst: Leaders and Catalyst: Seeding. For more information on included programmes, please refer the Society’s [Catalyst Fund](#) website.

2026 Activity	Catalyst Seeding Call for Proposals		
	Kohitātea January Call	Paenga-whāwhā April Call	Hōngongoi July Cal
Call for proposals via Catalyst Portal	29 January	30 April	30 July
Reviewer EOI closing date for current Catalyst Call	1 April	2 July	1 October
Catalyst application closing date	23 April	23 July	22 October
Notification of selected Reviewers	No later than 30 April	No later than 30 July	No later than 29 October
Reviewer briefing video conference (10-11 AM)	30 April	03 August	29 October
Collated applications forwarded to Reviewers	30 April	30 July	29 October
Deadline for Reviewer’s submission of grades	2 June	31 August	30 November
Award announcement	11 June	10 September	10 December

## **Paearu whiriwhiri a Whakahohoro: Kākano Catalyst: Seeding selection criteria**

**Criterion 1: Enduring collaboration** (weight in assessment 30%)

**Will the proposed activity establish an enduring collaboration with world class international partners?**

Reviewers should base their grading of this criterion on:

- track records<sup>1</sup> of the New Zealand PI and their Collaboration Partner (relative to opportunity<sup>2</sup>)
- clearly demonstrated excellence of the Partner Institutions
- potential of the collaboration to create an enduring partnership
- ability of the Project Team to deliver on proposed activities.

In scoring this criterion as 10: the Project Team will have demonstrated a combined record of achievement and research translation that is outstanding by the international standards of their research field and for their career stage; there will be evidence of strong commitment to collaboration between these partners.

In scoring a 1: the Project Team will have provided no evidence of productivity in a relevant research field; have a team that appears underpowered for the research area; and are without any record of forming stable collaborations.

<sup>1</sup> Reviewers should consider a person's track record relative to the person's role on the proposal, e.g. research capability and/or linking with world-class international research groups.

<sup>2</sup> When assessing the track record of New Zealand early career researchers, reviewers should consider if the researcher has the skills and knowledge to lead the project based on the research outputs and other experiences presented in their CV, rather than simply dismissing applicants with few research publications.

**Criterion 2: Novel knowledge and partnership** (weight in assessment 40%)

**Will the activity lead to the creation of new knowledge and a novel research partnership?**

Reviewers should base their grading of this criterion on:

- how the Collaboration Partner will bring world-leading knowledge that complements the New Zealand Project Team members' skills and knowledge
- how the proposed collaboration will support either a new partnership or a new research focus for an established collaboration.

In scoring this criterion as 10: the Collaboration Partner will possess international standing and skills that complement, and not simply duplicate, those of the New Zealand Project Team; the proposed linkage is either an entirely new collaboration between

partners, or a novel and exciting change in research direction building from an existing collaboration.

In scoring a 1: the Collaboration Partner will not appear able to add significantly to the New Zealand Project Team skills and capabilities, or the proposal continues business-as-usual.

### **Criterion 3: Strategic benefits** (weight in assessment 30%)

#### **Will the activity lead to a collaboration of strategic benefit to New Zealand?**

Reviewers should base their grading of this criterion on:

- ability to leverage international investment, facilities and infrastructure not available in New Zealand
- clearly demonstrated pathway to building a substantive collaboration beyond an initial engagement within New Zealand's science priorities
- ability of the Project Team to initiate links with New Zealand research capabilities beyond the participating institutions.

In scoring this criterion as 10: the Collaboration Partners will provide access to major resources that cannot be found in New Zealand; there will be a clearly defined and practical plan for both partners to extend their networks through this collaboration; the research programme is clearly aligned with, or complements, an identifiable New Zealand science priority.

In scoring a 1, the Collaboration Partners will appear uncommitted or duplicate infrastructure found in New Zealand; there will be no plan for ongoing activity beyond the direct proposal; the linkage is of marginal relevance to any New Zealand science priority.

*New Zealand science priorities include, but are not limited to, Catalyst Fund priority research areas. The New Zealand science priority may refer to any high priority research field as evidenced by links to a CoRE, Horizon Europe, biodiversity document, central or local government priority, or any other science priority argued in the proposal. Alignment with a Catalyst Fund priority research area does not automatically score higher in Criterion 3 than alignment with a New Zealand science priority demonstrated in other ways. The expectation to award 75% of contracts in Catalyst Fund priority research areas will be implemented by the Society and should not be considered by reviewers in their scoring.*

## **Vision Mātauranga**

[Vision Mātauranga](#) is a policy about innovation, opportunity and the creation of knowledge that highlights the potential contribution of Māori knowledge, resources and people.

Where research projects are of particular relevance to Māori or involve Māori, the Society expects that applicants are in consultation with Māori to ensure that the research is well planned, that appropriate etiquette is observed when access to Māori sites, culturally

sensitive material and knowledge is sought from their owners, and that Māori intellectual and cultural property rights are respected. Cultural understanding is required to ensure good quality research. As a first step, researchers are expected to have sought advice from their institution, many of which have established processes for consultation with Māori.

Consultation with Māori is not expected, and may not be appropriate, for proposed projects where no specific interest for Māori can be identified. The applicant must provide a rationale for this decision.

Vision Mātauranga is not explicitly part of the scoring criteria. However, where it is relevant to a proposal, it can contribute to the overall excellence. Aspects of Vision Mātauranga relating to relevant experience may be included in the “Roles and Resources” section of the proposal application.

### **Mata Confidentiality**

The applications, Reviewers’ worksheets and grades are confidential in every respect. An application is submitted on the understanding that: (i) it will only be used in the appraisal process; (ii) it is confidential to the review panels appointed by the Society; (iii) that it will not be made available to the public. The Society takes the issue of confidentiality very seriously.

- Reviewers must ensure the safe keeping of all applications and related confidential documents (e.g., application spreadsheets, scoring summaries, letters of recommendation, referee reports).
- At the conclusion of the assessment (at the announcement of successful applicants), Reviewers must destroy/delete any documentation.
- Reviewers must not enter into correspondence or discussion of the contents of the applications with referees, third parties, or the applicants. The Society will conduct all necessary correspondence.
- The intellectual property of the ideas and hypotheses in the applications must be treated by the reviewer in strict confidence.

### **Tūmataitinga Privacy**

The Society has obligations under the [Privacy Act 2020](#) to keep confidential certain information provided by individuals. Where reviewers have access to personal information about individuals associated with an application, they must adhere to the principles of the Privacy Act.

### **Te mahi a ngā kaimahi a Te Apārangi Role of Society staff**

In addition to the above roles, the Society will:

- record funding decisions
- record any conflicts of interest and identify problem areas

- convey funding decisions to applicants and their Host organisations – all decisions related to a decision must occur through Royal Society Te Apārangī staff
- negotiate contract details with Host institutions.

### **Honoraria for Catalyst reviewers**

Royal Society Te Apārangī acknowledges Catalyst: Seeding review activities deemed to take a day or more to complete with an honorarium of \$200 per round reviewed. For more information, please see [Honoraria for Catalyst Seeding: and Leaders Reviewers](#).

### **Ngā mihi Thank you**

Royal Society Te Apārangī appreciates the time and effort that Reviewers put into the Catalyst Fund assessment process. The time, advice, contribution to the research community and suggestions for improvements from Reviewers on the assessment process is highly valued.

### **Mō ētahi atu mōhiōhio More information**

For more detailed information on the funding opportunities the review activity supports, refer to the [Catalyst Fund](#) webpage.

For any queries or further information, please contact Royal Society Te Apārangī Research Funding (International) team at: [International.Applications@royalsociety.org.nz](mailto:International.Applications@royalsociety.org.nz)

## Appendix I: He Hōtaka ā-tau Annual call times and programme information for Catalyst: Seeding

TABLE 1: Annual call timeline Catalyst: Seeding

Call	Open Date	Close Date	Programmes
Kohitātea January	29 January 2026	23 April 2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General</li> </ul>
Paenga-whāwhā April	30 April 2026	23 July 2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General</li> <li>• <del>New Zealand – Germany Science &amp; Technology Programme</del> (not available in 2026)</li> <li>• Dumont d’Urville NZ-France Science &amp; Technology Support Programme</li> </ul>
Hōngongoi July	30 July 2026	22 October 2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General</li> <li>• New Zealand – Japan Joint Research Projects (tbc)</li> </ul>

**Please note:** Specific programme information is updated at each call release.

TABLE 2: Catalyst: Seeding Programmes

Type	Partner	Application(s) Required	Allowable expenses	NZ\$ Funding (excl. GST)
General	International	New Zealand	Travel, research expenses, expenses related to hosting workshops	Up to \$80,000 in total for up to two years
<b>Bilateral Sub-Programme</b>				
New Zealand – Germany Science & Technology Programme	Germany	New Zealand and Germany	Travel, research expenses, expenses related to hosting workshops	Up to \$80,000 in total for up to two years
Dumont d’Urville NZ-France Science & Technology Support Programme	France	New Zealand and France	Travel, research expenses, expenses related to hosting workshops	Up to \$80,000 in total for up to two years
New Zealand – Japan Joint Research Projects	Japan	New Zealand and Japan	Travel, research expenses, expenses related to hosting meetings	Up to \$30,000 per annum for up to two years

## Appendix II: He Kuputaka Glossary of te reo Māori terms

Definitions taken from [maoridictionary.co.nz](http://maoridictionary.co.nz)

Ka mihi ki a Ahorangi Angus Macfarlane, Te Whare Wānanga o Waitaha, mō tēnei.  
With thanks to Professor Angus Macfarlane, University of Canterbury, for his input.

Aotearoa	the Māori name for New Zealand
Aroha	affection, sympathy, charity, compassion, love, empathy
Atua	ancestor with continuing influence, god, demon, supernatural being, deity, ghost, object of superstitious regard, strange being - although often translated as 'god' and now also used for the Christian God
Hapū	kinship group, clan, tribe, subtribe - section of a large kinship group and the primary political unit in traditional Māori society. It consisted of a number of whānau sharing descent from a common ancestor, usually being named after the ancestor, but sometimes from an important event in the group's history. A number of related hapū usually shared adjacent territories forming a looser tribal federation (iwi)
Hau kāinga	home, true home, local people of a marae, home people
Hauora	health, wellbeing
Hui	gathering, meeting, assembly
Iwi	extended kinship group, tribe, nation, people, nationality, race - often refers to a large group of people descended from a common ancestor and associated with a distinct territory
Kāinga	home, address, residence, village, settlement, habitation, habitat, dwelling
Kaitiaki	trustee, minder, guard, custodian, guardian, caregiver, keeper, steward
Kaitiakitanga	guardianship, stewardship, trusteeship
Kaumātua	adult, elder, elderly man, elderly woman, senior person - a person of status within the whānau or iwi
Kaupapa	philosophy, topic, policy, matter for discussion, plan, purpose, scheme, proposal, agenda, subject, programme, theme, issue, initiative
Kaupapa Māori	Māori approach, Māori topic, Māori customary practice, Māori institution, Māori agenda, Māori principles, Māori ideology - a philosophical doctrine, incorporating the knowledge, skills, attitudes and values of Māori society
Koha	gift, present, offering, donation, contribution - especially one maintaining social relationships and has connotations of reciprocity
Kōiwi tangata	human bones or remains
Kōrero	to tell, say, speak, read, talk, address; speech, narrative, story, news, account, discussion, conversation, discourse, statement, information
Mamae	be painful, sore, hurt

Mana	prestige, authority, control, power, influence, status, spiritual power, charisma - mana is a supernatural force in a person, place or object. Mana goes hand in hand with tapu, one affecting the other. The more prestigious the event, person or object, the more it is surrounded by tapu and mana. Mana is the enduring, indestructible power of the atua and is inherited at birth, the more senior the descent, the greater the mana. The authority of mana and tapu is inherited and delegated through the senior line from the atua as their human agent to act on revealed will. Since authority is a spiritual gift delegated by the atua, man remains the agent, never the source of mana. This divine choice is confirmed by the elders, initiated by the tohunga under traditional consecratory rites (tohi). Mana gives a person the authority to lead, organise and regulate communal expeditions and activities, to make decisions regarding social and political matters. A person or tribe's mana can increase from successful ventures or decrease through the lack of success
Manaakitanga	hospitality, kindness, generosity, support - the process of showing respect, generosity and care for others
Māori	Māori, Indigenous New Zealander, Indigenous person of Aotearoa/New Zealand - a new use of the word resulting from Pākehā contact in order to distinguish between people of Māori descent and the colonisers
Marae	courtyard - the open area in front of the wharenui (meeting house), where formal greetings and discussions take place. Often also used to include the complex of buildings around the marae
Mātauranga	knowledge, wisdom, understanding, skill - sometimes used in the plural; education - an extension of the original meaning and commonly used in modern Māori with this meaning
Mauri	life principle, life force, vital essence, special nature, a material symbol of a life principle, source of emotions - the essential quality and vitality of a being or entity. Also used for a physical object, individual, ecosystem or social group in which this essence is located
Moana	sea, ocean, large lake
Te Moana-nui-a-Kiwa	the Pacific Ocean
Pākehā	English, foreign, European, exotic - introduced from or originating in a foreign country; New Zealander of European descent - probably originally applied to English-speaking Europeans living in Aotearoa/New Zealand
Pepeha	tribal saying, tribal motto, proverb (especially about a tribe), set form of words, formulaic expression, saying of the ancestors, figure of speech, motto, slogan - set sayings known for their economy of words and metaphor and encapsulating many Māori values and human characteristics
Pūrākau	myth, ancient legend, story
Rangatahi	younger generation, youth

Rangatira	chief (male or female), chieftain, chieftainess, master, mistress, boss, supervisor, employer, landlord, owner, proprietor - qualities of a leader is a concern for the integrity and prosperity of the people, the land, the language and other cultural treasures (for example, oratory and song poetry), and an aggressive and sustained response to outside forces that may threaten these
Rangatiratanga	chieftainship, right to exercise authority, chiefly autonomy, chiefly authority, ownership, leadership of a social group, domain of the rangatira, noble birth, attributes of a chief
Rohe	boundary, district, region, territory, area, border (of land)
Rūnanga	council, tribal council, assembly, board, boardroom, iwi authority - assemblies called to discuss issues of concern to iwi or the community
Tamariki	children - normally used only in the plural
Tāne	husband, male, man
Tangata whenua	local people, hosts, indigenous people - people born of the whenua, i.e. of the placenta and of the land where the people's ancestors have lived and where their placenta are buried
Taonga	treasure, anything prized - applied to anything considered to be of value including socially or culturally valuable objects, resources, phenomenon, ideas and techniques
Tapu	be sacred, prohibited, restricted, set apart, forbidden, under atua protection; restriction, prohibition - a supernatural condition. A person, place or thing is dedicated to an atua and is thus removed from the sphere of the profane and put into the sphere of the sacred. It is untouchable, no longer to be put to common use
Te reo Māori	Māori language
Te Tiriti o Waitangi	The Treaty of Waitangi
Tikanga	correct procedure, custom, habit, lore, method, manner, rule, way, code, meaning, plan, practice, convention, protocol - the customary system of values and practices that have developed over time and are deeply embedded in the social context
Tino rangatiratanga	self-determination, sovereignty, autonomy, self-government, domination, rule, control, power
Tipuna	ancestor, grandparent, grandfather, grandmother - singular form of tipuna and the eastern dialect variation of tupuna
Tohunga	skilled person, chosen expert, priest, healer - a person chosen by the agent of an atua and the tribe as a leader in a particular field because of signs indicating talent for a particular vocation
Tupuna	ancestor, grandparent – singular form of tūpuna and the western dialect variation of tipuna
Tūrangawaewae	domicile, standing, place where one has the right to stand - place where one has rights of residence and belonging through kinship and whakapapa

Wairua	spirit, soul - spirit of a person which exists beyond death. It is the non-physical spirit, distinct from the body and the mauri
Wahine/wāhine	wahine - woman, female, lady, wife; wāhine - women, females, ladies, wives – plural form of wahine; female, women, feminine
Wairuatanga	spirituality
Wānanga	seminar, conference, forum, educational seminar; tribal knowledge, lore, learning - important traditional cultural, religious, historical, genealogical and philosophical knowledge; tertiary institution that caters for Māori learning needs - established under the Education Act 1990
Whaikōrero	oratory, oration, formal speech-making, address, speech - formal speeches usually made by men during a pohiri/pōwhiri and other gatherings
Whakapapa	genealogy, genealogical table, lineage, descent - reciting whakapapa was, and is, an important skill and reflected the importance of genealogies in Māori society in terms of leadership, land and fishing rights, kinship and status. It is central to all Māori institutions. There are different terms for the types of whakapapa and the different ways of reciting them including: tāhū (recite a direct line of ancestry through only the senior line); whakamoe (recite a genealogy including males and their spouses); taotahi (recite genealogy in a single line of descent); hikohiko (recite genealogy in a selective way by not following a single line of descent); ure tārewa (male line of descent through the first-born male in each generation)
Whakataukī	proverb, significant saying, formulaic saying, cryptic saying, aphorism. Like whakataukī and pepeha they are essential ingredients in whaikōrero
Whānau	extended family, family group, a familiar term of address to a number of people - the primary economic unit of traditional Māori society. In the modern context the term is sometimes used to include friends who may not have any kinship ties to other members
Whānaungatanga	relationship, kinship, sense of family connection - a relationship through shared experiences and working together which provides people with a sense of belonging. It develops as a result of kinship rights and obligations, which also serve to strengthen each member of the kin group. It also extends to others to whom one develops a close familial, friendship or reciprocal relationship
Whenua	land - often used in the plural; territory, domain; country, land, nation, state



# CATALYST FUND

SEEDING

## Research Funding (International) Royal Society Te Apārangi

11 Turnbull Street  
Wellington 6011  
PO Box 598  
Wellington 6140  
New Zealand

Phone: +64 4 470 5756 | +64 4 470 5764

Email: [International.Applications@royalsociety.org.nz](mailto:International.Applications@royalsociety.org.nz)

Supported by the New Zealand Government with funding from the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. Nā Te Hīkina Whakatutuki te mana hāpai.



MINISTRY OF BUSINESS,  
INNOVATION & EMPLOYMENT  
HĪKINA WHAKATUTUKI

EXPLORE | DISCOVER | SHARE



**Te Kāwanatanga o Aotearoa**  
New Zealand Government