

New Zealand ORCID Advisory Committee Meeting #10

Minutes

Tuesday 3 August 2021 9:00 - 11:30 am via Zoom

Attendees:

Committee

Marie Bradley (Chair, AgResearch)

Richard Waldin (Scion, representing CRIs)

Anne Scott (University of Canterbury, representing CONZUL)

Nick Shortt (MRINZ, representing IRANZ)

Giselle Byrnes (Massey University, representing Universities New Zealand)

Lesley Brook (Otago Polytechnic, representing ITPs)

Esther Viljoen (HRC, representing funders)

Angela Hannah (MBIE)

Katharina Ruckstuhl (University of Otago, bringing a Mātauranga Māori perspective; ORCID Board member)

Marina Dzhelali (Capital & Coast District Health Board, representing DHBs)

Secretariat

Jason Gush (Royal Society Te Apārangi – ORCID programme manager and ORCID Hub product owner)

Nicole Stanton (Royal Society Te Apārangi – ORCID coordinator.)

Jill Mellanby (Royal Society Te Apārangi)

Guests

Nick Barraclough (AgResearch)

Karlene Tipler (MBIE)

Apologies: None received

Abbreviations:

CONZUL – Council of New Zealand University, Librarians

GDPR – General Data Protection Regulation

HRC – Health Research Council of New Zealand

IRANZ – Independent Research Association of New Zealand

ITPs – Institutes of Technology and Polytechnics

MBIE – Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

NZRIS – New Zealand Research Information System

PBRF – Performance Based Research Fund

Summary of actions:

- NS to draft and send out a letter of thanks to Mary-Anne on behalf of the Advisory Committee.
- NS to set up a meeting between the sub-committee and TEC for discussion of use the ORCID iD in PBRF.
- NS to follow up with Otago and Auckland Librarians about connections with DHB staff. LB to follow up with ITP libraries.
- NS to circulate response from OPC with Advisory Committee, and check with OPC if this can be released publicly as part of comms on the ORCID privacy policy changes.

- Consortium lead to communicate via website and newsletter, exactly what these policy changes are.
- LB to share their organisational policy on ORCID with the group (offer to all consortium members?)
- All members to consider a topic for focus at the next meeting.

Meeting opened 9 am

The chair noted we have some new members to the committee and requested that we send a formal thank you to Mary-Anne Woodnorth, who has stepped down as the representative for DHBs.

Action point: NS to draft and send out a letter of thanks to Mary-Anne on behalf of the Advisory Committee.

1. Update on ORCID in New Zealand

We have things to celebrate!

- Over 80% of NZ publicly funded researchers have an ORCID iD.
- The South African ORCID Consortium has established a local version of the Hub, which has led to benefits by improving the code base for the NZ Hub.
- We have some wonderful ORCID champions who are doing great work- particularly Lesley at Otago Polytechnic and Hugh at Auckland Museum. Thank you!
- Royal Society Te Apārangi has written records for Marsden Panel members and Rutherford Discovery Fellows, with over 70% uptake in both.

There was discussion on why people would choose not to have an item written to a record. Limited information is available on this, but one correspondent from the Royal Society Te Apārangi's recent campaign commented that they "prefer not to use this system" so would not accept the invitation sent. Messaging is key around ORCID to build trust in the system and understand the value that it holds.

2. Round table update

There was discussion on mandating the use of ORCID iDs, following the recommendation from the recent review of the PBRF to investigate the use of ORCID iDs as a replacement for the National Student Index number currently used. The TEC has been advised that they cannot mandate the ORCID iD as a researcher identifier, so are not pursuing this recommendation. A proposal was made to form a sub-committee of university-based members of the Advisory Committee to meet with the TEC to discuss this further, as it could still prove to be useful. A note was made that the recommendation to use the ORCID iD at a national level is unprecedented, which means there is little guidance available on how this could be implemented.

Action point: NS to set up a meeting between the sub-committee and TEC for discussion of use the ORCID iD in PBRF.

There is much anticipation of the Future Pathways paper to come from MBIE, which may result in significant changes to the science system in New Zealand. The Advisory Committee may put in a submission during the consultation process for this document.

The ITPs and DHBs are also in the middle of changes to their structures. It's important in these times of change to make sure ORCID is included in any new systems that are implemented.

3. NZRIS Update

Angela Hannah is the new Principal Advisor: Evidence and Insights NZRIS and takes over from Amber Flynn as the MBIE representative on the committee. Of the three key parts of NZRIS (data warehouse, custodian app, data submission app) the data warehouse has been set up. Currently, the data custodian app is being developed. Once all privacy and security issues have been resolved, organisations can start pushing data in. A newsletter will be sent to stakeholders in the next couple of weeks.

4. Increasing engagement amongst smaller organisations

The consortium lead has been actively contacting members to update their nominated ORCID contacts – this has resulted in some meetings with previously inactive members: Verum Group (previously CRL Energy), Manukau Institute of Technology, and the Cawthron Institute. However, none has yet taken up the offer of onboarding to the Test Hub. Smaller organisations have many competing priorities and ORCID is only one of these.

It was discussed that it works well if you have a champion, good support within the organisation, and good IT support. Library groups could be helpful for providing this support and community, and most members have access to libraries.

Action point: NS to follow up with Otago and Auckland Librarians about connections with DHB staff. LB to follow up with ITP libraries.

5. Update on ORCID Board

The board is made up of a diverse, international group of highly skilled people with deep roots into different parts of the research sector. The consortium could utilise this pool of expertise, if required. The board have been spending much of their time on strategy, with a focus on providing value for members and consortia.

6. Discussion on Privacy

NB joined the meeting for a discussion on privacy. They are team leader for the legal team at AgResearch and the privacy officer for AgResearch since October 2020.

In setting up the meeting, the consortia lead contacted the Office of the Privacy Commissioner (OPC) to ask them to attend. They were not available but did provide advice on the issue of deleting entries in ORCID profiles after revoked permissions (and subsequent privacy policy change), which was discussed with the Advisory Committee earlier in the year. The OPC indicated that they did not think that the proposed change raised privacy concerns, and NB agrees with this assessment.

Action point: NS to circulate response from OPC with Advisory Committee, and check with OPC if this can be released publicly as part of comms on the ORCID privacy policy changes.

ORCID has recently updated their privacy policy (including the above change), and consortium members are unlikely to be aware of this change. The changes in ORCID's privacy policy should be communicated with our consortium community.

Action point: Consortium lead to communicate via website and newsletter, exactly what these policy changes are.

Within each member organisation, there should be clear communication about what information in ORCID is being used and how. There should also be a clear offboarding process

when someone leaves an organisation, although it is appreciated that an individual approach is difficult in large organisations, to discuss ORCID.

Action point: LB to share their organisational policy on ORCID with the group (offer to all consortium members?)

7. AOB

Members were requested to think about a topic for focus at the next meeting.

Action point: All members to consider a topic for focus at the next meeting.

Meeting closed 11:28 am